

Recall: A set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$

has measure zero if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$

\exists open intervals $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$

with $A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} O_i$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(O_i) < \varepsilon.$$

Lemma: If $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

is a sequence of measure-zero subsets of \mathbb{R} , then

$A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is also measure-zero.

proof: Since A_n is measure-zero

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\varepsilon > 0$, \exists open intervals $\{O_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$A_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} O_{n,i} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} l(O_{n,i}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}.$$

Consider $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} O_{ni}$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(O_{ni})$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Sigma}{2^n} = \Sigma, \text{ so done.}$$

Except for the question of whether the iterated sum is well-defined!

But it is - see section
2.8. In particular,
the manner in which
the operations are
undertaken is irrelevant. \square

Definition: (α -continuity)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If

$x \in (a, b)$, we say f is

α -continuous at x for

α a fixed positive number

if $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$\forall y, z \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$,

$$|f(y) - f(z)| < \alpha$$

Note: α -continuity for
a given α at $x \in [a, b]$
does **not** imply continuity
at x .

Example: $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 1, & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

is α -continuous $\forall \alpha > 1$
for any $x \in (0, 1)$.

Notation: (D_α, D)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$.

$$D_\alpha = \left\{ x \in [a, b] \mid f \text{ is not } \alpha\text{-continuous at } x \right\}$$

$$D = \left\{ x \in [a, b] \mid f \text{ is not continuous at } x \right\}$$

Observations:

$$1) \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \Rightarrow D_{\alpha_2} \subseteq D_{\alpha_1}$$

$$2) D_{\alpha} \subseteq D \quad \forall \alpha > 0$$

Lemma!: (discontinuities)

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{1/n}$$

proof: From observations,

$$D_{1/n} \subseteq D \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ so}$$

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{1/n} \subseteq D. \text{ Now let}$$

$x \in D$. We want to show

$$x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{1/n}.$$

Since $x \in D$, f is not
continuous at x . So

$\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$\forall \delta > 0$ there exists

a y with $|x - y| < \delta$

and $|f(x) - f(y)| \geq \varepsilon$.

Therefore, f is not ε -continuous

($\alpha = \varepsilon$) at x .

Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$.

Then $x \in D_\varepsilon \subseteq D_{1/n}$

$\Rightarrow x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{1/n}$, so

$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{1/n} . \quad \square$$

Lemma 2: D_α is closed $\forall \alpha > 0$.

proof: Equivalent to D_α^c

open.

$$D_\alpha^c = \{x \in (a, b) \mid f \text{ is } \alpha\text{-continuous at } x\}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $x \in D_\alpha^c$.

Then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall y, z \in (x - \delta, x + \delta), \\ |f(y) - f(z)| > \epsilon.$$

Let $y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$.

Let $\delta_y = \min\{y - (x - \delta), x + \delta - y\}$

Then if $z, w \in (y - \delta_y, y + \delta_y)$
 $\subseteq (x - \delta, x + \delta)$,

so $|f(z) - f(w)| < \epsilon$

$\Rightarrow f$ is ϵ -continuous \forall

$y \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) \Rightarrow$

D_x^c is open $\Rightarrow D_x$ closed. \square

Definition: (uniform α -continuity)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$.

Let $S \subseteq [a, b]$. Then f is

uniformly α -continuous on

S if $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$\forall x \in S$, f is α -continuous

at x .

Lemma 3: (α -continuity and compactness)

Let $K \subseteq [a, b]$ be compact.

Then if $\alpha > 0$ and $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

is α -continuous $\forall x \in K$,

f is uniformly α -continuous

on K .

proof: Since f is α -continuous

$\forall x \in K, \exists \delta_x > 0$ such that

$\forall y, z \in (x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x), |f(y) - f(z)| < \alpha$.

Trivially,

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K} (x - \delta_x, x + \delta_x).$$

Since K is compact, \exists

$$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in K,$$

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n (x_i - \delta_{x_i}, x_i + \delta_{x_i}).$$

Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n} be the endpoints of the intervals $(x_i - \delta_{x_i}, x_i + \delta_{x_i})$.

$$\text{Let } \delta = \frac{\min_{i,j} |y_i - y_j|}{2}.$$

Then this δ will
work $\forall x \in K$ since

$$\exists i, 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subseteq (x_i - \delta_{x_i}, x_i + \delta_{x_i}).$$



Theorem: (Lebesgue)

Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be bounded. Then f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$ if and only if D has measure zero.

Proof: Next class